P-ISSN: 2204-1990; E-ISSN: 1323-6903 DOI: 10.47750/cibg.2020.26.02.047

Comparison of arch bar removal with and without the usage of local anaesthesia.

P. DEEKSHEETHA¹, BALAKRISHNA R N^{2*}, NASHRA KAREEM³

¹Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,

Saveetha University, Chennai - 600077.

²Senior lecturer, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai - 600077.

³Senior Lecturer, Department of Periodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai - 600077.

*Corresponding Author

Email ID: 151401083.sdc@saveetha.com¹, balakrishnarn.sdc@saveetha.com, nashrak.sdc@saveetha.com

Abstract: The aim of this study is to assess the arch for removal With local anaesthesia versus arch bar removal without anaesthesia. Intermaxillary fixation is the most commonly used treatment modality In patients with maxillofacial trauma. On removal of the IMFs General anaesthesia and various forms of local anaesthesia and local anaesthetic techniques in the form of infiltrations, nerve blocks, topical local anaesthetic spray, topical local anaesthetic gel. This was a University-based study. The data was obtained by reviewing case sheets of patients from June 2019 to March 2020. The case sheets of the patients who had undergone intermaxillary fixation were appraised to assess if the arch bars were removed using local anaesthesia or without the usage of a local anaesthetic agent. 97% of the patients were given local anaesthesia for the removal of the arch bars. Lignocaine with adrenaline was the most commonly used (96%) local anaesthetic solution. 2ML of the local anaesthetic solution was the common amount of local anaesthetic solution used (35%)

Keywords: Arch bar removal; Innovation technique, Intermaxillary fixation; lignocaine; local anaesthesia.

INTRODUCTION

In India, road traffic accidents are one of the leading causes of death. According to the WHO-2018's report India. has 1% of the world's vehicles, what accounts for 60% of the world's road traffic accidents. (Pal et al., 2019). In a developing country like India, due to the lack of proper traffic sense, maxillofacial trauma and fractures have become recurrent and frequent. (Chaurasia and Katheriya, 2018). Intermaxillary fixation is the most commonly employed method of treating maxillofacial trauma, As it causes reduction and immobilisation of the fracture site. (Stein and Titler, 2017) Maxillofacial trauma management transpires with indications of its own such as, after the fixation the patient must regain their normal occlusion status, facial symmetry and The temporomandibular joint must be capable of performing its normal complex movements.(SOREL and B, 1998). Management of maxillofacial trauma, when treated with intermaxillary fixation, involves "wiring the jaws shut", which can cause various hindrances for the anaesthetic management and for securing a patent airway, and in the management of an emergency should one arise. (Nalliah et al., 2013)

The most common age group of patients who had maxillofacial injuries and trauma were between the age folds of 30 to 40 years of which mandibular fractures were more common than mid facial fractures. (Abhinav et al., 2019) According to the previous studies other than road traffic accidents, the causes of maxillofacial trauma and fractures were due to falls, assault, sports and industrial related accidents. (P. Kamalakannan ,D. Durairaj, R. Karthikeyan, Ashish R. Jain. Comparison of bone plating methods for parasymphysis/body fractures of mandible. Drug Intervention Today. 2019;11(4):1006-12, no date). Among the mandibular fractures the mandibular condylar fractures are the most common of all 17.5-52%. Sub condylar fracture is the most common unilateral condylar fracture, while fracture of the condylar heads is the most common bilateral condylar fracture. The fracture of the mandibular condyles are more commonly caused by a direct trauma. But they can also occur due to an indirect force. (Balaji and Balaji, 2017). Oftentimes, post surgical complications can arise after the treatment of maxillofacial fractures with open reduction and internal fixation, which are more commonly seen in condylar fractures, the most common complications are infection which can lead to removal of the plate and paresthesia. (Ravikumar and Bhoj, 2019)

The time taken for the removal of eyelets and trans mucosal screws was comparatively lesser than the time taken for the removal of arch bars. (Sekar, Natarajan and Kapasi, 2017). In patients with pan facial fractures anaesthesia poses as a difficulty. In such cases a sub mental intubation along with a flexible bronchoscope can be carried out.

Copyright © The Author(s) 2020 . Published by *Society of Business and management*. This is an Open Access Article distributed under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

(Sridhar et al., 2014). Arch bar removals are commonly done either under general or local anaesthesia, so that the patients can remain comfortable and relatively pain free during the entire procedure. And patient cooperation can be ensured if anaesthetic agent is employed. But the patients experience pain during anaesthetic procedures, hence topical anaesthetics can be employed prior to arch bar removals or prior to local anaesthetic procedures itself. There are ample methods of anaesthetic means such as vibrotactile devices, accupal, Dental vibe and computer controlled anesthetic delivery systems (Sriram and Selvarasu, 2018), (Abhinav, Sweta and Ramesh, 2019) In patients with good pain threshold arch bar removals can be carried out with only a topical anaesthetic agent or without any anaesthetic agent, to save time. Various original studies have been carried out previously by our team, (Packiri, Gurunathan and Selvarasu, 2017), (Kumar, 2017b), (Jesudasan, Abdul Wahab and Muthu Sekhar, 2015; Kumar, 2017b), (Kumar and Rahman, 2017), (Christabel et al., 2016), (Marimuthu et al., 2018), (Patil et al., 2017), (Rao and Santhosh Kumar, 2018), (Kumar and Sneha, 2016), (Kumar, 2017c), (Kumar, 2017a), (Patturaja and Pradeep, 2016). The idea for this cross sectional study stemmed due the piqued interest in our community. Our department is passionate about research we have published numerous high quality articles in this domain over the past years (Abraham et al., 2005; Devaki, Sathivel and BalajiRaghavendran, 2009; Neelakantan et al., 2010, 2015; Arja et al., 2013; Ramshankar et al., 2014; Sumathi et al., 2014; Surapaneni and Jainu, 2014; Surapaneni, Priya and Mallika, 2014; Ramamoorthi, Nivedhitha and Divyanand, 2015; Maniyannan et al., 2017; Ezhilarasan, 2018; Ezhilarasan, Sokal and Najimi, 2018; J et al., 2018; Ravindiran and Praveenkumar, 2018; Malli Sureshbabu et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2019; Krishnaswamy et al., 2020; Samuel, Acharya and Rao, 2020; Sathish and Karthick, 2020) The main aim of this study is to assess the arch bar removal with local anaesthesia versus arch bar removal without local anaesthesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done in a university based, cross sectional, uni-centred study. Ethical board clearance was obtained from the IERB Of Saveetha dental dental college and hospital, Chennai. IEC approval number: SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/ 0619-0320. Informed consents were obtained from the patients.

Sampling: No sampling method was used as all the data samples obtained were included in this study. The date from which the data samples were collected was from June 2019 to March 2020. The case sheets of the patients assessed were those of the patients who had undergone intermaxillary fixation; cross verification of the obtained data was done by checking the intraoral photographs. In this study all samples of patients who had undergone intermaxillary fixation were included.

Data collection: The data was obtained by reviewing 86,000 patient case sheets, of patients of saveetha dental college and hospitals, chennai, of the patients who had undergone intermaxillary fixation were chosen for data collection. The parameters assessed were age, gender, type and amount of anaesthesia used for the arch bar removal. The data obtained was collected and tabulated in the excel sheets, which was transferred to the SPSS software by IBM version 25 for windows OS, for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis: The software used for their statistical analysis was the SPSS software, by IBM - version 25 for Windows OS. The data obtained was imported from the Excel sheets to SPSS and variables were defined prior to statistical analysis. The independent variables were age and gender. While the dependent variables were the amount and type of LA used. The data was subjected to chi-square tests to find the correlation and association between the variables and any p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The most common age group that underwent trauma was the 31-40 years age group, the incidence 42.86%. Males were more commonly affected than females. Males 85.71%, females 14.29%. 97.40% of the patients preferred arch bar removal with LA, while only 2.60% preferred arch bar removal without LA. In patients wherein LA was used 1ml (2.60%) was the least amount of LA used, while the largest amount of LA used was 10ml (1.3%), and the most common amount of LA used was 2ml (35.06%). In 96.10% of the patients lignocaine with adrenaline was used, while in only1.30% of the patients lignocaine without adrenaline was used. LA was not used in only two age groups 1-10 years age group and 21-30 years age group. LA was not used in 2.60% of the males, while LA was used in all female patients for the removal of arch bars; rather than the removal of arch bars without local anaesthesia. The commonly used local anaesthetic agent was lignocaine with adrenaline. Males were more susceptible to maxillofacial trauma than females and the most common age group that underwent maxillofacial trauma was the 31-40 years of age. Mandible was more commonly fractured then the maxilla.

In the previous study by Kazuhiko Yamamoto et al, The removal of surgical plates was done using local anaesthesia on an outpatient basis. (Yamamoto et al., 2015) In the study by DG Coburn et al, the arch bars were removed under local Anaesthesia (Coburn, Kennedy and Hodder, 2002). In the study by NK Sahoo et al, the IMF

was removed with either local or general anaesthesia. (Sahoo et al., 2009) Jeong, Yeong Jin et al, Employed 2% lidocaine solution and topical Lidocaine gel prior to the removal of arch bars. (Jeong et al., 2016) In the previous study by Sahand Samieirad et al the most common age group that was susceptible to maxillofacial trauma was the 20-30 years of age group. (Samieirad et al., 2017) Which was similar to the results obtained by Zulfikhar et al, (Zulfigar and Others, 2018) The both above mentioned studies were done in Iran and Pakistan respectively, and hence yielded different results than the present study. In the study by Mohanvalli Singaram et al, males were more commonly affected by maxillofacial trauma (Singaram, G and Udhayakumar, 2016) which was similar to the results obtained by C Prasad et al., (Prasad et al., 2018) The results obtained by the present study were similar to these two previous studies. In the study by T. Lloyd et al, on removal of IMFs local and general anaesthesia were both employed. In patients on whom local anaesthesia was used the patients felt uncomfortable, and this was eliminated by the use of general anaesthesia. (Llovd, Nightingale and Edler, 2001) In the randomised control trial conducted by A.S Mc Millian et al, a combination of 2.5% of lidocaine and 2.5% of prilocaine was used as EMLA solution which provided better anaesthesia when compared with lignocaine alone (McMillan, Walshaw and Meechan, 2000). In the study by P.Pere et al, EMLA and placebos were employed for the removal of arch bars, and the patients who had undergone removal of arch bars using EMLA were significantly pain free than the ones who had undergone arch bar removal using a placebo. (Pere et al., 1992). The difference in the study results obtained can be attributed to the different regions and different study populations between the present and the previous studies.

The limitations of this study was that there was only a limited sample size, though all the samples were included in this study. The study population was based on the patients of a single university, and this study was single centred. The pain threshold of the patients were not assessed. In the future, further studies and research can be performed on a larger population, by including the patients from different universities within the state and country. Furthermore dose dependent anaesthetic studies and randomised control trials can be done using placebos versus other forms of anaesthetic agents. Research can be conducted by using newer forms of anaesthetic agents for the removal of arch bars.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to assess the removal of arch bars with anaesthesia versus without anaesthesia. Within the limits of this study it can be found that the patients preferred the removal of arch bars with a dose of local anaesthesia than without local anaesthesia. The main reason for it was a relatively comfortable and a pain free procedure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was done under the supervision of the Department of Research of Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals. We sincerely show gratitude to the corresponding guide who provided insights and expertise that greatly assisted the research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1 (P. Deeksheetha), carried out the study by collecting data and drafted the manuscript after performing the necessary statistical analysis. Author 2 (Dr.BalaKrishna R N) aided in conception of the topic, has participated in the study design, statistical analysis and has supervised in preparation of the manuscript. Author 3 (Dr. Nashra Kareem) has participated in the study design and has coordinated in developing the manuscript. All the authors have discussed the results among themselves and contributed to the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: No conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- 1. Abhinav, R. P. et al. (2019) 'The Patterns and Etiology of Maxillofacial Trauma in South India', Annals of maxillofacial surgery, 9(1), pp. 114–117.
- Abhinav, R. P., Sweta, V. R. and Ramesh, A. (2019) 'Role of virtual reality in pain perception of patients following the administration of local anesthesia', Annals of Maxillofacial Surgery, p. 110. doi: 10.4103/ams.ams_263_18.
- 3. Abraham, S. et al. (2005) 'Evaluation of the inhibitory effect of triphala on PMN-type matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-9)', Journal of periodontology, 76(4), pp. 497–502.
- 4. Arja, C. et al. (2013) 'Oxidative stress and antioxidant enzyme activity in South Indian male smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease', Respirology , 18(7), pp. 1069–1075.
- Balaji, P. and Balaji, S. M. (2017) 'Open Reduction and Internal Fixation: Screw Injury Retrospective Study', Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research, 28(3). doi: 10.4103/ijdr.IJDR_272_17.
- 6. Chaurasia, A. and Katheriya, G. (2018) 'Prevalence of mandibular fracture in patients visiting a tertiary

dental care hospital in North India', National journal of maxillofacial surgery, 9(2), pp. 123–128.

- Christabel, A. et al. (2016) 'Comparison of pterygomaxillary dysjunction with tuberosity separation in isolated Le Fort I osteotomies: a prospective, multi-centre, triple-blind, randomized controlled trial', International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, pp. 180–185. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom.2015.07.021.
- Coburn, D. G., Kennedy, D. W. G. and Hodder, S. C. (2002) 'Complications with intermaxillary fixation screws in the management of fractured mandibles', British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, pp. 241–243. doi: 10.1054/bjom.2001.0771.
- 9. Devaki, T., Sathivel, A. and BalajiRaghavendran, H. R. (2009) 'Stabilization of mitochondrial and microsomal function by polysaccharide of Ulva lactuca on D-Galactosamine induced hepatitis in rats', Chemico-biological interactions, 177(2), pp. 83–88.
- 10. Ezhilarasan, D. (2018) 'Oxidative stress is bane in chronic liver diseases: Clinical and experimental perspective', Arab journal of gastroenterology: the official publication of the Pan-Arab Association of Gastroenterology, 19(2), pp. 56–64.
- Ezhilarasan, D., Sokal, E. and Najimi, M. (2018) 'Hepatic fibrosis: It is time to go with hepatic stellate cellspecific therapeutic targets', Hepatobiliary & pancreatic diseases international: HBPD INT, 17(3), pp. 192– 197.
- 12. Jeong, Y. J. et al. (2016) 'The Use of Topical Lidocaine Gel During Intermaxillary Fixation Procedure', The Journal of craniofacial surgery, 27(5), pp. e475–7.
- Jesudasan, J. S., Abdul Wahab, P. U. and Muthu Sekhar, M. R. (2015) 'Effectiveness of 0.2% chlorhexidine gel and a eugenol-based paste on postoperative alveolar osteitis in patients having third molars extracted: a randomised controlled clinical trial', British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, pp. 826–830. doi: 10.1016/j.bjoms.2015.06.022.
- 14. J, P. C. et al. (2018) 'Prevalence and measurement of anterior loop of the mandibular canal using CBCT: A cross sectional study', Clinical implant dentistry and related research, 20(4), pp. 531–534.
- Krishnaswamy, H. et al. (2020) 'Investigation of air conditioning temperature variation by modifying the structure of passenger car using computational fluid dynamics', Thermal Science, 24(1 Part B), pp. 495– 498.
- 16. Kumar, S. (2017a) 'KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND AWARENESS OF DENTAL UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS REGARDING HIV/AIDS PATIENTS', Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, p. 175. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i5.17277.
- 17. Kumar, S. (2017b) 'RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DENTAL ANXIETY AND PAIN EXPERIENCE DURING DENTAL EXTRACTIONS', Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, p. 458. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i3.16518.
- Kumar, S. (2017c) 'THE EMERGING ROLE OF BOTULINUM TOXIN IN THE TREATMENT OF OROFACIAL DISORDERS: LITERATURE UPDATE', Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, p. 21. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i9.16914.
- 19. Kumar, S. and Rahman, R. (2017) 'KNOWLEDGE, AWARENESS, AND PRACTICES REGARDING BIOMEDICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT AMONG UNDERGRADUATE DENTAL STUDENTS', Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, p. 341. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i8.19101.
- Kumar, S. and Sneha, S. (2016) 'KNOWLEDGE AND AWARENESS REGARDING ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS FOR INFECTIVE ENDOCARDITIS AMONG UNDERGRADUATE DENTAL STUDENTS', Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, p. 154. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2016.v9s2.13405.
- 21. Lloyd, T., Nightingale, C. and Edler, R. (2001) 'The use of vacuum-formed splints for temporary intermaxillary fixation in the management of unilateral condylar fractures', British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, pp. 301–303. doi: 10.1054/bjom.2001.0649.
- 22. Malli Sureshbabu, N. et al. (2019) 'Concentrated Growth Factors as an Ingenious Biomaterial in Regeneration of Bony Defects after Periapical Surgery: A Report of Two Cases', Case reports in dentistry, 2019, p. 7046203.
- 23. Manivannan, I. et al. (2017) 'Tribological and surface behavior of silicon carbide reinforced aluminum matrix nanocomposite', Surfaces and Interfaces, 8, pp. 127–136.
- 24. Marimuthu, M. et al. (2018) 'Canonical Wnt pathway gene expression and their clinical correlation in oral squamous cell carcinoma', Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research, 29(3), pp. 291–297.
- McMillan, A. S., Walshaw, D. and Meechan, J. G. (2000) 'The efficacy of Emla® and 5% lignocaine gel for anaesthesia of human gingival mucosa', The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery, 38(1), pp. 58– 61.
- 26. Mehta, M. et al. (2019) 'Oligonucleotide therapy: An emerging focus area for drug delivery in chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases', Chemico-biological interactions, 308, pp. 206–215.
- 27. Nalliah, R. P. et al. (2013) 'Economics of facial fracture reductions in the United States over 12 months',

Dental traumatology: official publication of International Association for Dental Traumatology, 29(2), pp. 115–120.

- 28. Neelakantan, P. et al. (2010) 'Root and Canal Morphology of Mandibular Second Molars in an Indian Population', Journal of endodontics, 36(8), pp. 1319–1322.
- 29. Neelakantan, P. et al. (2015) 'Photoactivation of curcumin and sodium hypochlorite to enhance antibiofilm efficacy in root canal dentin', Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy, 12(1), pp. 108–114.
- 30. Packiri, S., Gurunathan, D. and Selvarasu, K. (2017) 'Management of Paediatric Oral Ranula: A Systematic Review', Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR, 11(9), pp. ZE06–ZE09.
- 31. Pal, R. et al. (2019) 'Public health crisis of road traffic accidents in India: Risk factor assessment and recommendations on prevention on the behalf of the Academy of Family Physicians of India', Journal of family medicine and primary care, 8(3), pp. 775–783.
- 32. Patil, S. B. et al. (2017) 'Comparison of Extended Nasolabial Flap Versus Buccal Fat Pad Graft in the Surgical Management of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Prospective Pilot Study', Journal of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery, pp. 312–321. doi: 10.1007/s12663-016-0975-6.
- 33. Patturaja, K. and Pradeep, D. (2016) 'Awareness of Basic Dental Procedure among General Population', Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, p. 1349. doi: 10.5958/0974-360x.2016.00258.4.
- 34. Pere, P. et al. (1992) 'Topical application of 5% eutectic mixture of lignocaine and prilocaine (EMLA®) before removal of arch bars', The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery, 30(3), pp. 153–156.
- 35. P. Kamalakannan ,D. Durairaj, R. Karthikeyan, Ashish R. Jain. Comparison of bone plating methods for parasymphysis/body fractures of mandible. Drug Intervention Today. 2019;11(4):1006-12 (no date). Available at: http://jprsolutions.info/files/final-file-5cb8ad40611772.95249944.pdf (Accessed: 17 June 2020).
- 36. Prasad, C. et al. (2018) 'Prevalence and pattern of maxillofacial trauma in North Chennai: A retrospective study', Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry, 16(4), p. 303.
- Ramamoorthi, S., Nivedhitha, M. S. and Divyanand, M. J. (2015) 'Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and EndoActivator during root canal irrigation: A randomised controlled trial', Australian endodontic journal: the journal of the Australian Society of Endodontology Inc, 41(2), pp. 78–87.
- 38. Ramshankar, V. et al. (2014) 'Risk stratification of early stage oral tongue cancers based on HPV status and p16 immunoexpression', Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP, 15(19), pp. 8351–8359.
- Rao, T. D. and Santhosh Kumar, M. P. (2018) 'Analgesic Efficacy of Paracetamol Vs Ketorolac after Dental Extractions', Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, p. 3375. doi: 10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00621.2.
- 40. Ravikumar, C. and Bhoj, M. (2019) 'Evaluation of postoperative complications of open reduction and internal fixation in the management of mandibular fractures: A retrospective study', Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research, 30(1), pp. 94–96.
- Ravindiran, M. and Praveenkumar, C. (2018) 'Status review and the future prospects of CZTS based solar cell – A novel approach on the device structure and material modeling for CZTS based photovoltaic device', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 94, pp. 317–329.
- 42. Sahoo, N. K. et al. (2009) 'Retrospective Study on Efficacy of Intermaxillary Fixation Screws', Armed Forces medical journal, India, 65(3), pp. 237–239.
- 43. Samieirad, S. et al. (2017) 'Maxillofacial fracture epidemiology and tMA et al. Maxillofacial fracture epidemiology and treatment plans in the Northeast reatment plans in the Northeast of Iran: A retrospective study. of Iran: A retrospective study', Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal [Internet].
- 44. Samuel, S. R., Acharya, S. and Rao, J. C. (2020) 'School Interventions-based Prevention of Early-Childhood Caries among 3-5-year-old children from very low socioeconomic status: Two-year randomized trial', Journal of public health dentistry, 80(1), pp. 51–60.
- 45. Sathish, T. and Karthick, S. (2020) 'Wear behaviour analysis on aluminium alloy 7050 with reinforced SiC through taguchi approach', Journal of Materials Research and Technology, 9(3), pp. 3481–3487.
- Sekar, K., Natarajan, P. M. and Kapasi, A. (2017) 'Comparison of Arch Bar, Eyelets and Transmucosal Screws for Maxillo Mandibular Fixation in Jaw Fratcure', Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal, pp. 497– 508. doi: 10.13005/bpj/1136.
- 47. Singaram, M., G, S. V. and Udhayakumar, R. K. (2016) 'Prevalence, pattern, etiology, and management of maxillofacial trauma in a developing country: a retrospective study', Journal of the Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, 42(4), pp. 174–181.
- 48. SOREL and B (1998) 'Open versus closed reduction of mandible fractures', Oral and maxillofacial surgery clinics of North America, 10, pp. 541–565.
- 49. Sridhar, C. B. et al. (2014) 'Submental intubation with flexible bronchoscope in panfacial fracture reduction', J Evol Med Dent Sci, 3, pp. 15337–15339.
- 50. Sriram, K. and Selvarasu, K. (2018) 'Adjunct techniques for painless intraoral local anesthesia-A systematic

review', Drug Invention Today. Available at: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=09 757619&AN=133549257&h=zaqmpNM5JE%2F1a69W60ASHgfVBQs9cFfqmAecHDi2G4hJ8GNP8AnR YmjNbcxiBVfd51MN2qdFZFQn4WCKr4mzaQ%3D%3D&crl=c.

- 51. Stein, K. M. and Titler, S. (2017) 'Maxillomandibular Fixation and Anesthesia Management', AANA journal, 85(6), pp. 469–477.
- 52. Sumathi, C. et al. (2014) 'Production of prodigiosin using tannery fleshing and evaluating its pharmacological effects', TheScientificWorldJournal, 2014, p. 290327.
- 53. Surapaneni, K. M. and Jainu, M. (2014) 'Comparative effect of pioglitazone, quercetin and hydroxy citric acid on the status of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in experimental non-alcoholic steatohepatitis', Journal of physiology and pharmacology: an official journal of the Polish Physiological Society, 65(1), pp. 67–74.
- 54. Surapaneni, K. M., Priya, V. V. and Mallika, J. (2014) 'Pioglitazone, quercetin and hydroxy citric acid effect on cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) enzyme levels in experimentally induced non alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)', European review for medical and pharmacological sciences, 18(18), pp. 2736–2741.
- 55. Yamamoto, K. et al. (2015) 'Routine removal of the plate after surgical treatment for mandibular angle fracture with a third molar in relation to the fracture line', Annals of Maxillofacial Surgery, p. 77. doi: 10.4103/2231-0746.161077.
- 56. Zulfiqar, R. and Others (2018) 'A Retrospective Study of 2,536 Mandibular Fractures in Different Ages Over a Period of 9-Year', EC Dental Science, 17, pp. 2155–2160.

FIGURES:



Graph 1: This bar graph shows the age distribution, among the study participants. The most common age group that underwent trauma was the 31-40 years age group (43%) followed by the 21-30 years age group (32.47%), followed by 11-20 years of age (14.29%). The least incidences of trauma was observed in the 1-10 years and 41-50 years age groups (5.19%) each.



Graph 2: This bar graph represents the gender distribution among the study participants. Males were more commonly affected than females. Males 86%, females 14%.



Graph 3: This bar graph shows the usage of LA for arch bar removal. 97.40% of the patients preferred arch bar removal with LA (blue colour), while only 2.60% preferred arch bar removal without LA (red colour).



Graph 4: This graph shows the amount of LA used for arch bar removal. In patients wherein LA was used 1ml (2.5%), was the least amount of LA used, while the largest amount of LA used was 10ml (1.2%), and the most common amount of LA used was 2ml (35%).



Graph 5: This graph represents the type of LA used for arch bar removal. In 74 (96.10%) of the patients lignocaine with adrenaline was used, while in only1(1.30%) of the patients lignocaine without adrenaline was used.



Graph 6: This bar chart shows the comparison between different age groups and the LA used for arch bar removal. The X axis represents the age of the patient. The Y axis represents the number of patients who had undergone arch bar removal. Local anaesthesia was used more commonly for arch bar removal. Blue colour represents arch bar removal with local anaesthesia, while the red

represents arch bar removal without local anaesthesia. Local anaesthesia was predominantly used in the 31-40 years age group than the other age groups. There was a significant difference in the LA usage for arch bar removal between the age groups. (Chi-square test, p value: 0.049 (p<0.05 statistically significant)).



Graph 7: This bar chart shows the comparison between gender of the patients and the usage of LA for the removal of arch bars. The X axis represents gender of the patient. The Y axis represents the number of patients who had undergone arch bar removal. Blue colour represents arch bar removal with local anaesthesia, while the red represents arch bar removal without local anaesthesia. In male and female patients local anaesthesia was more commonly used for arch bar removal. LA was employed for the removal of arch bars more commonly in males than females, however it was not statistically significant. (Chi-square test, p value: 0.559 (p>0.05 statistically not significant)).