
Assessment of prevalence and gender distribution of hypodontia in maxillary dentition

MILONI SURESH SHAH¹, ARAVIND KUMAR SUBRAMANIAN^{2*},
MARAGATHAVALLI GOPAL³

¹Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai- 77, India.

²Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai-77, India.

³Head of department, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences (SIMATS), Saveetha University, Chennai-77, India.

*Corresponding Author

Email ID: 151501038.sdc@saveetha.com, aravindkumar@saveetha.com, drgopalvalli@gmail.com

Abstract: Congenitally missing teeth or as usually called hypodontia, is a highly prevalent and common developmental dental anomaly where there is congenital absence of one or more teeth. It is the most prevalent dental malformation in humans that could affect and influence the orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. Besides an unfavorable appearance, patients with missing teeth may suffer from malocclusion, periodontal damage, insufficient alveolar bone growth, reduced chewing ability, inarticulate pronunciation and other problems. The purpose of this retrospective study was to assess the prevalence and gender distribution of hypodontia in maxillary teeth, excluding the third molars. Orthopantomogram of orthodontic patients above the age group of 14 years were examined for evidence of hypodontia. The casts were used as an additional means of confirming the diagnosis. Retrospective data of patients were collected and those patients who had missing teeth were first segregated. From among those the patients details with congenitally missing teeth were then sorted. 15 patients with congenitally missing teeth excluding third molars were found fulfilling all the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The data was analysed using SPSS statistical software, descriptive and analytical statistics was done with Chi square test. It was observed that hypodontia of maxillary teeth was more predominant in maxillary lateral incisors (n=12;80%) followed by maxillary premolars (n=2;13.4%) and canines (n=1;6.7%). Occurrence of hypodontia was more predominant in females (53.3%) when compared to males (46.7%) (p>0.05); hence statistically not significant. Early diagnosis and appropriate management might help in the attainment of ideal occlusion without the need for extensive orthodontic therapy

Keywords: Anomaly; Congenitally missing; Hypodontia; Innovation, maxillary.

INTRODUCTION

Hypodontia, or congenital missing of teeth has been the most common dental anomaly. It is one of the most common dental anomalies. (Altug-Atac and Erdem, 2007; Goya et al., 2008). It may detrimentally affect the aesthetics, patients self confidence and alter the function. (Altug-Atac and Erdem, 2007; Coster et al., 2008; Behr et al., 2011; Amini, Rakhshan and Babaei, 2012) It might negatively affect both the esthetics and function (Afshari, Eslamipour and Najimi, 2018). Esthetics itself is an important factor and its problems might affect patients' self-esteem, communication behavior, professional performance and quality of life. (Meaney et al., 2012) Patients with missing permanent teeth may suffer from complications such as malocclusion, which itself can lead to mastication problems, periodontal damage, lack of alveolar bone growth, reduced chewing ability, inarticulate pronunciation, changes in skeletal relationships and an unfavorable appearance. (Khosravanifard et al., 2011) Absence of anterior teeth or congenital missing of more than two teeth in the same quadrant may be an indication for the existence of a need for early orthodontic treatment (Polder et al., 2004) (Sisman, Uysal and Gelgor, 2007). Therefore early diagnosis and effective treatment planning is essential in investigating the prevalence of hypodontia (Meza and Silva Meza, 2003) (Tarpey et al., 2007). It is essential to address the scenario at the earliest to prevent complications of hypodontia, including periodontal damage, malocclusion, and lack of alveolar growth. The literature consists of numerous studies on the prevalence of hypodontia (excluding third molars) in the permanent dentition, among different populations reporting prevalence rates of up to 11.3%. (Tarpey et al., 2007; Acev and Gjorgova, 2014) (Goya et al., 2008)

Most studies report that girls have a higher prevalence of hypodontia than boys. Most previous studies show that the most frequent missing teeth are either the mandibular second premolars or the maxillary lateral incisors,

although other studies reported that the mandibular incisor was the most frequently absent tooth in Japanese and Chinese populations, respectively.(Niswander and Sujaku, 1963)

These studies highlight the importance of creating awareness on replacement of missing teeth or orthodontic correction ,numerous high quality articles have been published in this domain over the past 3 years. With this inspiration we planned to pursue research on prevalence and gender distribution in hypodontia of maxillary teeth.(Sivamurthy and Sundari, 2016) (Samantha et al., 2017) (Krishnan, 2015) (Dinesh et al., 2013) (Vikram et al., 2017) (Kamisetty et al., 2015) (Viswanath et al., 2015) (Felicitia, 2017b) (Rubika, Sumathi Felicitia and Sivambiga, 2015) to intrude the maxillary incisors (Jain, Kumar and Manjula, 2014) (Ramesh Kumar et al., 2011; Samantha, 2017) (Felicitia, 2017a)(Felicitia, Chandrasekar and Shanthasundari, 2012)(Felicitia and Sumathi Felicitia, 2018)

Our department is passionate about research we have published numerous high quality articles in this domain over the past years (Abraham et al., 2005; Devaki, Sathivel and BalajiRaghavendran, 2009; Neelakantan et al., 2010, 2015; Arja et al., 2013; Ramshankar et al., 2014; Sumathi et al., 2014; Surapaneni and Jainu, 2014; Surapaneni, Priya and Mallika, 2014; Ramamoorthi, Nivedhitha and Divyanand, 2015; Manivannan et al., 2017; Ezhilarasan, 2018; Ezhilarasan, Sokal and Najimi, 2018; J et al., 2018; Ravindiran and Praveenkumar, 2018; Malli Sureshbabu et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2019; Krishnaswamy et al., 2020; Samuel, Acharya and Rao, 2020; Sathish and Karthick, 2020)Hence, the aim of this retrospective study was to assess the prevalence and gender distribution of hypodontia in maxillary teeth, excluding the third molars.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting: The study was conducted after ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board. (SDC/SIHEC/2020/DIASDATA/0619-0320.) A retrospective study was done to evaluate the prevalence of hypodontia of maxillary teeth in patients who visited Saveetha dental College. The study population included all patients who had missing teeth when was then sorted to cases only with hypodontia of maxillary teeth. The advantage of this study was the flexible data that could be obtained. However the drawback of this study is that there were geographic limitations and the people involved in the study were from an isolated population and belonged to the same ethnic group. The internal validity of the study was carried out by analysing the gender and missing teeth due to other reasons The external validity was determined by hypodontia of maxillary teeth.

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria:

Patients in permanent dentition stage(14 years and above) who had missing teeth either due to hypodontia or any other reasons were involved in the study. The exclusion criteria was any incomplete data without proper records and those who did not have missing teeth and completely edentulous patients.

Data collection: About 86,000 patient records were reviewed and analysed between June 2019 -March 2020 and 24,044 samples were included in the study. All available data was included in the study to minimise sampling bias. Patients of all age groups were involved in the study. Collected data was cross verified using case sheets, casts and photographs. Data collected was completed and it was tabulated in microsoft excel.

Statistical Analysis: After tabulation using MS Excel, the data was exported to IBM SPSS software [Version 19: IBM Corporation NY USA] for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics was done to assess the prevalence of hypodontia of maxillary teeth. Pearson chi square test was done to statistically analyze the data. Pearson chi square test was used to identify gender association; the significance level was set at 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was observed that the prevalence of congenital missing teeth was much lesser about (0.1%) when compared to that of missing teeth due to any other reasons.(Figure 1) Also in the present study occurrence was higher in the females(n=8;53.3%) when compared to that of males.(n=7;46.7%) (Figure 2) Among the congenitally missing teeth, mostly noted was maxillary lateral incisors(12 (n=8;53.3%) and 22(n=4;26.7%).(Figure 3)It was observed that congenitally missing teeth, tooth number 12 was predominantly missing in both the genders; male(green) and in females(blue). Pearson's Chi square value = 2.946; df =4; p-value: 0.567 (p>0.05); hence statistically not significant.(Figure 4)Gender association of congenitally missing teeth showed that the difference was not statistically significant.(p value- 0.796; >0.05)(Figure 5)

Few studies have evaluated the difference between hypodontia rates in the anterior and posterior segments(Endo et al., 2006)(Afshari, Eslamipour and Najimi, 2018)(Ng'ang'a and Ng'ang'a, 2001)(Celikoglu et al., 2010) which should be considered in future studies.On the other hand, it is suggested that the permanent maxillary first premolars, canines and first molars, which are likely to be more stable, have a relatively greater rate of CMT in children with five or more teeth missing(Movahhed et al., 2015) Most studies showed higher predominance in the anterior segment (Afshari, Eslamipour and Najimi, 2018)(Dixon, Hoyte and Ronning, 2017) and the few remaining researches found no significant differences. Some investigators suggest that in mild cases of hypodontia, the anterior segment might be more involved while the posterior segment might be predominant in severe cases(Gowri Sankar and MDS, no date)Many studies did not calculate or report the anterior versus posterior missing and many of them did not present raw data.(Gowri Sankar and MDS, no date)(Folayan, 2019)

Most craniofacial traits result from complex interactions between genetic and environmental factors. Heritability can be expressed as a ratio that estimates the extent to which genetic characteristics affect the variation of a trait (Harris, 2008). It can range from 1 (complete genetic control) to zero (complete environmental control (Harris, 2008) but can exceed theoretical thresholds if dominant gene effects and acquired environmental effects are included (Behr et al., 2011). Many studies have demonstrated a strong genetic influence in hypodontia. Twin and family studies have determined that agenesis of lateral incisors and premolars is inherited via an autosomal dominant gene, with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity (Acev and Gjorgova, 2014)(Nieminen et al., 2001; Acev and Gjorgova, 2014)(Alvesalo and Portin, 1969) There is no consensus, however, on whether hypodontia is a result of a polygenic or single gene defect, although the former appears to be largely supported in the literature (Townsend, Kanazawa and Takayama, 2012)

Most important is the assessment of the complaints of the patients and the parents, an experienced team of dental specialists should be involved in the treatment process. Treatment plans needed to manage the missing teeth of hypodontia patients are complex and require an interdisciplinary approach, which usually comes at a financial cost to both the patient and their family. Therefore, it is highly recommended to state all the numbers of all the missing teeth according to tooth types, jaws and sides, etc., in each study.(Nohl, 2012)

Another problem which should be avoided in future research is the lack of proper report of every finding in many studies. Future studies are recommended to report not only the prevalence of CMT, but also the prevalence of cases with different numbers of missing teeth [for example how many subjects had two, three, or more missing teeth, etc.] This is valuable, since definitions of hypodontia might differ from study to study.(Aktan et al., 2010)(Goya et al., 2008; Gomes et al., 2010)

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of our study, it was observed that the overall prevalence of hypodontia was 0.1% and hypodontia of maxillary right lateral incisors was most common followed by left lateral incisors and then premolars and canines. Occurrence of hypodontia was slightly more in females when compared to males but the association between gender and occurrence of hypodontia was not significant.

REFERENCES

1. Abraham, S. et al. (2005) 'Evaluation of the inhibitory effect of triphala on PMN-type matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-9)', *Journal of periodontology*, 76(4), pp. 497–502.
2. Acev, D. P. and Gjorgova, J. (2014) 'Prevalence of Hypodontia in the Permanent Dentition of Macedonian Population', *Balkan Journal of Dental Medicine*, pp. 93–98. doi: 10.1515/bjdm-2015-0015.
3. Afshari, Z., Eslamipour, F. and Najimi, A. (2018) 'Prevalence of orthodontic treatment need in permanent dentition of Iranian population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies', *Dental Research Journal*, p. 1. doi: 10.4103/1735-3327.223616.
4. Aktan, A. M. et al. (2010) 'Radiographic study of tooth agenesis in the Turkish population', *Oral Radiology*, pp. 95–100. doi: 10.1007/s11282-010-0049-2.
5. Altug-Atac, A. T. and Erdem, D. (2007) 'Prevalence and distribution of dental anomalies in orthodontic patients', *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics: official publication of the American Association of Orthodontists, its constituent societies, and the American Board of Orthodontics*, 131(4), pp. 510–514.
6. Alvesalo, L. and Portin, P. (1969) 'The Inheritance Pattern of Missing, PEG-Shaped, and Strongly Mesio-Distally Reduced Upper Lateral Incisors', *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, pp. 563–575. doi: 10.3109/00016356909026309.
7. Amini, F., Rakhshan, V. and Babaei, P. (2012) 'Prevalence and pattern of hypodontia in the permanent dentition of 3374 Iranian orthodontic patients', *Dental research journal*, 9(3), pp. 245–250.
8. Arja, C. et al. (2013) 'Oxidative stress and antioxidant enzyme activity in South Indian male smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease', *Respirology*, 18(7), pp. 1069–1075.
9. Behr, M. et al. (2011) 'Survey of congenitally missing teeth in orthodontic patients in Eastern Bavaria', *European journal of orthodontics*, 33(1), pp. 32–36.
10. Celikoglu, M. et al. (2010) 'Frequency and characteristics of tooth agenesis among an orthodontic patient population', *Medicina Oral Patología Oral y Cirugía Bucal*, pp. e797–e801. doi: 10.4317/medoral.15.e797.
11. Coster, P. J. D. et al. (2008) 'Dental agenesis: genetic and clinical perspectives', *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, pp. 1–17. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0714.2008.00699.x.
12. Devaki, T., Sathivel, A. and BalajiRaghavendran, H. R. (2009) 'Stabilization of mitochondrial and microsomal function by polysaccharide of *Ulva lactuca* on D-Galactosamine induced hepatitis in rats', *Chemico-biological interactions*, 177(2), pp. 83–88.
13. Dinesh, S. P. S. et al. (2013) 'An indigenously designed apparatus for measuring orthodontic force', *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 7(11), pp. 2623–2626.

14. Dixon, A. D., Hoyte, D. A. N. and Ronning, O. (2017) *Fundamentals of Craniofacial Growth*. CRC Press.
15. Endo, T. et al. (2006) 'A survey of hypodontia in Japanese orthodontic patients', *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics*, pp. 29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2004.09.024.
16. Ezhilarasan, D. (2018) 'Oxidative stress is bane in chronic liver diseases: Clinical and experimental perspective', *Arab journal of gastroenterology: the official publication of the Pan-Arab Association of Gastroenterology*, 19(2), pp. 56–64.
17. Ezhilarasan, D., Sokal, E. and Najimi, M. (2018) 'Hepatic fibrosis: It is time to go with hepatic stellate cell-specific therapeutic targets', *Hepatobiliary & pancreatic diseases international: HBDP INT*, 17(3), pp. 192–197.
18. Felicita, A. S. (2017a) 'Orthodontic management of a dilacerated central incisor and partially impacted canine with unilateral extraction - A case report', *The Saudi dental journal*, 29(4), pp. 185–193.
19. Felicita, A. S. (2017b) 'Quantification of intrusive/retraction force and moment generated during en-masse retraction of maxillary anterior teeth using mini-implants: A conceptual approach', *Dental press journal of orthodontics*, 22(5), pp. 47–55.
20. Felicita, A. S., Chandrasekar, S. and Shanthasundari, K. K. (2012) 'Determination of craniofacial relation among the subethnic Indian population: a modified approach - (Sagittal relation)', *Indian journal of dental research: official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 23(3), pp. 305–312.
21. Felicita, A. S. and Sumathi Felicita, A. (2018) 'Orthodontic extrusion of Ellis Class VIII fracture of maxillary lateral incisor – The sling shot method', *The Saudi Dental Journal*, pp. 265–269. doi: 10.1016/j.sdentj.2018.05.001.
22. Folayan, M. O. (2019) *A Global Compendium of Oral Health: Tooth Eruption and Hard Dental Tissue Anomalies*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
23. Gomes, R. R. et al. (2010) 'Prevalence of hypodontia in orthodontic patients in Brasilia, Brazil', *The European Journal of Orthodontics*, pp. 302–306. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjp107.
24. Gowri Sankar, S. and MDS (no date) *Text book of orthodontics*. singaraju gowri sankar.
25. Goya, H. A. et al. (2008) 'An orthopantomographic study of hypodontia in permanent teeth of Japanese pediatric patients', *Journal of Oral Science*, pp. 143–150. doi: 10.2334/josnurd.50.143.
26. Harris, E. F. (2008) 'Interpreting Heritability Estimates in the Orthodontic Literature', *Seminars in Orthodontics*, pp. 125–134. doi: 10.1053/j.sodo.2008.02.003.
27. Jain, R. K., Kumar, S. P. and Manjula, W. S. (2014) 'Comparison of intrusion effects on maxillary incisors among mini implant anchorage, j-hook headgear and utility arch', *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 8(7), pp. ZC21–4.
28. J, P. C. et al. (2018) 'Prevalence and measurement of anterior loop of the mandibular canal using CBCT: A cross sectional study', *Clinical implant dentistry and related research*, 20(4), pp. 531–534.
29. Kamisetty, S. K. et al. (2015) 'SBS vs Inhouse Recycling Methods-An Invitro Evaluation', *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 9(9), pp. ZC04–8.
30. Khosravanifard, B. et al. (2011) 'Efficacy of 4 surface treatments in increasing the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets bonded to saliva-contaminated direct composites', *Orthodontic Waves*, pp. 65–70. doi: 10.1016/j.odw.2011.02.003.
31. Krishnan, S. (2015) 'Effect of Bisphosphonates on Orthodontic Tooth Movement—An Update', *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH*. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2015/11162.5769.
32. Krishnaswamy, H. et al. (2020) 'Investigation of air conditioning temperature variation by modifying the structure of passenger car using computational fluid dynamics', *Thermal Science*, 24(1 Part B), pp. 495–498.
33. Malli Sureshbabu, N. et al. (2019) 'Concentrated Growth Factors as an Ingenious Biomaterial in Regeneration of Bony Defects after Periapical Surgery: A Report of Two Cases', *Case reports in dentistry*, 2019, p. 7046203.
34. Manivannan, I. et al. (2017) 'Tribological and surface behavior of silicon carbide reinforced aluminum matrix nanocomposite', *Surfaces and Interfaces*, 8, pp. 127–136.
35. Meaney, S. et al. (2012) 'The impact of hypodontia: a qualitative study on the experiences of patients', *The European Journal of Orthodontics*, pp. 547–552. doi: 10.1093/ejo/cjr061.
36. Mehta, M. et al. (2019) 'Oligonucleotide therapy: An emerging focus area for drug delivery in chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases', *Chemico-biological interactions*, 308, pp. 206–215.
37. Meza, R. S. and Silva Meza, R. (2003) 'Radiographic assessment of congenitally missing teeth in orthodontic patients', *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, pp. 112–116. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-263x.2003.00436.x.
38. Movahhed, T. et al. (2015) 'Social Determinants of Tooth Loss among a Group of Iranian Female Heads of Household', *Journal of Dental Research, Dental Clinics, Dental Prospects*, pp. 126–130. doi: 10.15171/joddd.2015.025.
39. Neelakantan, P. et al. (2010) 'Root and Canal Morphology of Mandibular Second Molars in an Indian Population', *Journal of endodontics*, 36(8), pp. 1319–1322.
40. Neelakantan, P. et al. (2015) 'Photoactivation of curcumin and sodium hypochlorite to enhance antibiofilm

- efficacy in root canal dentin', *Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy*, 12(1), pp. 108–114.
41. Ng'ang'a, R. N. and Ng'ang'a, P. M. (2001) 'Hypodontia of permanent teeth in a Kenyan population', *East African Medical Journal*. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v78i4.9063.
 42. Nieminen, P. et al. (2001) 'Identification of a nonsense mutation in the PAX9 gene in molar oligodontia', *European Journal of Human Genetics*, pp. 743–746. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200715.
 43. Niswander, J. D. and Sujaku, C. (1963) 'Congenital anomalies of teeth in Japanese children', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, pp. 569–574. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330210413.
 44. Nohl, F. (2012) 'Book review Hypodontia: A Team Approach to Management By JA Hobkirk, DS Gill, SP Jones, KW Hemmings, GS Bassi, AL O'Donnell and JR Goodman. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011 (200pp, £82.50 h/b). ISBN 978-1-4051-8859-3', *Dental Update*, pp. 426–426. doi: 10.12968/denu.2012.39.6.426.
 45. Polder, B. J. et al. (2004) 'A meta-analysis of the prevalence of dental agenesis of permanent teeth', *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, pp. 217–226. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2004.00158.x.
 46. Ramamoorthi, S., Nivedhitha, M. S. and Divyanand, M. J. (2015) 'Comparative evaluation of postoperative pain after using endodontic needle and EndoActivator during root canal irrigation: A randomised controlled trial', *Australian endodontic journal: the journal of the Australian Society of Endodontology Inc*, 41(2), pp. 78–87.
 47. Ramesh Kumar, K. R. et al. (2011) 'Depth of resin penetration into enamel with 3 types of enamel conditioning methods: a confocal microscopic study', *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics: official publication of the American Association of Orthodontists, its constituent societies, and the American Board of Orthodontics*, 140(4), pp. 479–485.
 48. Ramshankar, V. et al. (2014) 'Risk stratification of early stage oral tongue cancers based on HPV status and p16 immunoexpression', *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP*, 15(19), pp. 8351–8359.
 49. Ravindiran, M. and Praveenkumar, C. (2018) 'Status review and the future prospects of CZTS based solar cell – A novel approach on the device structure and material modeling for CZTS based photovoltaic device', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 94, pp. 317–329.
 50. Rubika, J., Sumathi Felicita, A. and Sivambiga, V. (2015) 'Gonial Angle as an Indicator for the Prediction of Growth Pattern', *World Journal of Dentistry*, pp. 161–163. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10015-1334.
 51. Samantha, C. et al. (2017) 'Comparative Evaluation of Two Bis-GMA Based Orthodontic Bonding Adhesives - A Randomized Clinical Trial', *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 11(4), pp. ZC40–ZC44.
 52. Samantha, C. (2017) 'Comparative Evaluation of Two Bis-GMA Based Orthodontic Bonding Adhesives - A Randomized Clinical Trial', *JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH*. doi: 10.7860/jcdr/2017/16716.9665.
 53. Samuel, S. R., Acharya, S. and Rao, J. C. (2020) 'School Interventions-based Prevention of Early-Childhood Caries among 3-5-year-old children from very low socioeconomic status: Two-year randomized trial', *Journal of public health dentistry*, 80(1), pp. 51–60.
 54. Sathish, T. and Karthick, S. (2020) 'Wear behaviour analysis on aluminium alloy 7050 with reinforced SiC through taguchi approach', *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 9(3), pp. 3481–3487.
 55. Sisman, Y., Uysal, T. and Gelgor, I. E. (2007) 'Hypodontia. Does the Prevalence and Distribution Pattern Differ in Orthodontic Patients?', *European Journal of Dentistry*, pp. 167–173. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-1698333.
 56. Sivamurthy, G. and Sundari, S. (2016) 'Stress distribution patterns at mini-implant site during retraction and intrusion—a three-dimensional finite element study', *Progress in Orthodontics*. doi: 10.1186/s40510-016-0117-1.
 57. Sumathi, C. et al. (2014) 'Production of prodigiosin using tannery fleshing and evaluating its pharmacological effects', *TheScientificWorldJournal*, 2014, p. 290327.
 58. Surapaneni, K. M. and Jainu, M. (2014) 'Comparative effect of pioglitazone, quercetin and hydroxy citric acid on the status of lipid peroxidation and antioxidants in experimental non-alcoholic steatohepatitis', *Journal of physiology and pharmacology: an official journal of the Polish Physiological Society*, 65(1), pp. 67–74.
 59. Surapaneni, K. M., Priya, V. V. and Mallika, J. (2014) 'Pioglitazone, quercetin and hydroxy citric acid effect on cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) enzyme levels in experimentally induced non alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)', *European review for medical and pharmacological sciences*, 18(18), pp. 2736–2741.
 60. Tarpey, P. et al. (2007) 'A novel Gln358Glu mutation in ectodysplasin A associated with X-linked dominant incisor hypodontia', *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, pp. 390–394. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.31567.
 61. Townsend, G., Kanazawa, E. and Takayama, H. (2012) *New Directions in Dental Anthropology: Paradigms, Methodologies and Outcomes*. University of Adelaide Press.
 62. Vikram, N. R. et al. (2017) 'Ball Headed Mini Implant', *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 11(1), pp. ZL02–ZL03.
 63. Viswanath, A. et al. (2015) 'Obstructive sleep apnea: awakening the hidden truth', *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, 18(1), pp. 1–7.
 64. Afshari, Z., Eslamipour, F. and Najimi, A. (2018) 'Prevalence of orthodontic treatment need in permanent

dentition of Iranian population: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies', Dental Research Journal, p. 1. doi: 10.4103/1735-3327.223616.

65. Celikoglu, M. et al. (2010) 'Frequency and characteristics of tooth agenesis among an orthodontic patient population', Medicina Oral Patología Oral y Cirugía Bucal, pp. e797–e801. doi: 10.4317/medoral.15.e797.

66. Endo, T. et al. (2006) 'A survey of hypodontia in Japanese orthodontic patients', American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, pp. 29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2004.09.024.

67. Ng'ang'a, R. N. and Ng'ang'a, P. M. (2001) 'Hypodontia of permanent teeth in a Kenyan population', East African Medical Journal. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v78i4.9063.




Fig.1: Bar graph depicts the frequency distribution of missing teeth which is congenitally missing teeth and missing teeth due to other reasons. It was observed that congenital missing teeth was less when compared to that of missing teeth due to other reason(Green)




Fig.2: Bar chart depicts the frequency distribution of gender in congenitally missing teeth. It was observed that it was more predominant in the females when compared to that of males.




Fig.3: Bar chart depicts the frequency distribution of in congenitally missing Among the congenitally missing teeth, mostly noted was maxillary lateral incisors(12 and 22).




Fig.4: Bar graph depicts association between gender and Congenitally missing teeth. X axis represents gender and Y axis represents Congenitally missing teeth. It was observed that congenitally missing teeth, tooth number 12 was predominantly missing in both the genders; male(green) and in females(blue). Pearson's Chi square value = 2.946; df =4; p-value: 0.567 (p>0.05); hence statistically not significant.




Fig.5: Bar chart depicts the gender association between congenitally missing teeth and missing teeth due to other reasons. The X axis depicts gender and the Y axis represents the number of patients with missing teeth. It was observed that missing teeth due to other reasons were more common(Green) when compared ro congenitally missing teeth. Pearson's Chi square value = 0.455; df =2; p-value: 0.796 (p>0.05); hence statistically not significant.