

---

## **Stock Market Behaviour in Response to Political Events: A Case of Pakistan Major Political Events**

---

**Saima Samdani<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Ullah<sup>2</sup>, Ghulam Nabi<sup>3</sup>, Naveeda Zeb<sup>4</sup>,  
Muhammad Kamran Khan<sup>5</sup>, Masood Ahmed<sup>6</sup>, Azhar khan<sup>7</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Islamia College, Peshawar, Pakistan. (saimasamdani@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Islamia College, Peshawar, Pakistan.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Business Administration, University of Kotli, AJK,  
Pakistan.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Business Administration, University of Kotli, AJK,  
Pakistan.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Management Sciences & Commerce, Bacha Khan  
University, Pakistan.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Public Administration, University of Kotli, AJK, Pakistan.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Management Sciences, SRH Campus AWKUM, KPK,  
Pakistan.

**Corresponding Author: Muhammad Kamran Khan**

---

### **Abstract**

Purpose of this research is to unearth the effect of significant political events on stock market performance and volatility of Pakistan during the period 2013 to 2018. Event study methodology is employed to investigate the stock market behaviour in response to major political events i.e. the China Pakistan Economic Corridor CPEC (MOU), Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif disqualification, and the Elections held on 25<sup>th</sup> July 2018, in terms of four indexes (i.e. KSE 100 index, KSE all share indexes, KSE-30 index and KMI 30 index). Findings demonstrated that political events influence the stock market according to their nature. The contribution of this study is two folds. The effect of political events on stock market

performance is relatively understudied in the context of Pakistan. Contrary to previous studies; the current study examined the effects on all the indexes quoted by Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX).

**Key words:** Event study, China Pakistan Economic Corridor, Prime Minister Disqualification, General elections, Stock market.

---

## 1. Introduction

Prosperity of economic conditions of a country can better be judged through the lens of stock market viability. The stock market has an imperative and a protagonist role in economic efficiency and development thus acting as a barometer of the economy. Significant political happening (i.e., events) can cause fluctuations in the stock prices. There are bountiful evidences about different events affecting the behaviour of stock markets such as dividend announcements by companies (Khan, 2016), mergers and acquisitions (Gersdoff & Bacon, 2009; Liang, 2013; Gopalswamy et al., 2008), budget announcements (Soofi & Jefri, 1993; Ewing, 1998; Khan et al., 2017), new laws and policies announced by state or the company (Thorbecke, 1997). Political events are also among the influential factors that affect the stock market's performance. Around the globe, outcomes of political affairs on the stock markets have grabbed the attention of many researchers (Beaulieu et al., 2006). Political events have an effective role in devising the future monetary and fiscal policies of any country (Ahmed, 2017).

According to Bloomberg 2014 report Pakistan stock exchange was ranked as the 2<sup>nd</sup> most mature and 3<sup>rd</sup> best performer in South Asia and the world, respectively. Formerly Pakistan had three stock exchanges namely Islamabad stock exchange, Lahore stock exchange and the hoariest Karachi stock exchange. In January 2016 all the three stock exchanges were merged into a single one and named as Pakistan stock exchange (PSX). It comprises of 35 sectors and 573 listed companies. Different indexes are quoted by PSX, among which the KSE 100 index is the oldest. Introduced in November 1991, this index is the

most acceptable measure of performance by all investor and financial managers. According to Bloomberg it comprises of 100 best companies from 34 sectors of PSX. KSE all share indexes was introduced in September 1995 for the confirmation of KSE 100 index. Whereas the KSE 30 index represent only the free float of shares. The Karachi Meezan index (KMI-30), introduced in 2009, comprised of 30 Shariah compliant companies. This index is calculated on the basis of free float rather than outstanding market capitalization.

The turmoil in Pakistan politics and the occurrence of different political events have prodigious effect on the stock market. Primary objective of this research study is to get insight on the effect of major political events (in the period of 2013-2018) on the stock market performance in Pakistan. The contribution of this study is two folds. The effect of political events on stock market performance is relatively understudied in the context of Pakistan. This study addressed this gap by analysing the effect of three major events i.e. the CPEC (MOU), Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif disqualification, and the 25<sup>th</sup> July 2018 Elections. Contrary to previous studies, the current study examined the effects on all the indexes quoted by PSX i.e. KSE-100 Index, KSE ALL SHARE index, KSE-30 index, KMI-30 index.

## **2. Literature Review & Hypothesis Development**

Any news or happening that causes change in the stock prices can loosely be called as an event. Political events include all those events which have some relationship with the state or government.

### **2.1 Political Events and Stock Market Behaviour**

Significant influence of political events on stock market behaviour is highlight by many scholars. Gemil (1992) documents momentous anomalies during governmental elections in United Kingdom. Political development has a significant influence on stock market and unfavourable (political) news has greater impact on volatility than the favourable ones (Kim & Mei, 2001). Significant empirical evidence in support of political events effect on Israel stock market returns is also

provided by Zach (2003). By examining the political risk on Canadian stock returns Beaulieu, Cosset and Essaddam (2005) validated that the political news of Quebec separation from Canada had the vital role in stock market volatility. With regard to Turkey specifically Aktas and Oncu (2006) tested out the consequence of rejection of US bill by Turkish parliament on March 1, 2003 on the Turkish stock market. Using market model they concluded that the stock market behave differently during an extreme and distasteful political events and that the efficient market hypothesis assumption are being supported by their results. Stock return volatility varies with the degree of a firm's exposure to political risk (Beaulieu, Cosset and Essaddam, (2005). Goonatilake and Herath (2007) used three categories of news i.e. good, bad and neutral to examine the relationship between the stock markets of NASDAQ, DJIA and S&P 500 and political news. Based on analysis of ten-week data from July 2006 to September 2006, it was revealed that good news decreased the volatility these stock markets and bad news increased it while it was unchanged in response to neutral news. The stock prices tend to fall during the election period and rise after the elections in Kenya stock market (Miya, 2007). Mehdiyan (2008) by using data over the period 1997-2004, uncovers that any announcement regarding political and economic events cause market volatility in case of Turkey and that for the unexpected information investors thoroughly set security prices below their fundamental values. Studying twenty four OECD countries Bialkowksi, Gottschalk, Wisniewski (2008) discovered that country-specific component of index return variance can easily be doubled during the week around an election. Laverde, Varua & Ozanne (2009) argued that the crime and political uncertainty have significant negative effect on the Colombian stock market in terms of volatility and stock market returns. Similarly by the use of GARCH (1, 1) model, Brunner (2009) also validated that AEX (Amsterdam Exchange Index) react significantly to the political uncertainties in Netherland. Moser and Rose (2013) carried out study to check regional trade agreements announcement (RTA) effect on stock prices. A twenty year data was taken for a sample of 80 countries. Their study found the significant positive relationship of these

agreements with stock prices particularly, between trade friendly partners. The authors also found evidence indicative of more positive reaction of the market in the country that was included in that RTA. Chau et al. (2014) conducted study to discover the Arab Spring affect on the volatility of the MENA stock markets and the affect difference in Islamic and conventional indices. By using Uni variant and Multi variant GARCH analysis their investigations revealed that the political unrest and Arab Spring conflicts significantly increased the volatility and mainly through Islamic indices of MENA stock markets. Bin(2015) focused on the three zones of “the Greater China” that are Hong Kong, Taiwan and Mainland, all having different political and economic system in order to compare the their stock markets reactions to changes in political leadership between 2003 and 2014 period. By the use of Multivariate Regression model (MVRM) and E-GARCH models, he realized that the three stock markets react differently in stock prices and volatility for both good and bad news. Focusing on the link between stock market performance and democracy and political risks, Lehkonen and Heimonen (2015) conducted study on the panel of 25 emerging countries. By using OLS regression and system GMM techniques, they found the level of democracy and political risk affect returns of the stock markets significantly. Trinugroho(2016) by studying presidential elections effect that were held in 2014 on 387 publically traded companies in Indonesian stock market, evident the negative effect before the elections and rebounding effect after the event. The study also substantiates the apparent effect of the event on government controlled firms rather than on private firms.

Ahmed (2017) revealed that with different levels of intensity, political uncertainty has intensive effect on almost all market sectors in Egyptian Stock Exchange, by showing significant positive impact of the presidential elections of 2012 and 2014 while significant negative impact of military coup on EGX-100 index.

**[Pakistan]**

Malik and Shakil (2009), presented evidence of the bearish and bullish trends in the returns of Pakistani stock market in the pre and post resignation scenario of Parvez Musharraf, the ex-president of Pakistan.

Stock market volatility, if measured using KSE-100 index, reduces with good political news and increases with the bad ones (Suleman, 2012). By applying event methodology and paired sample t-statistics on four-year data from 2007 to 2010, the Gul et.al (2013) proved the significant effect of natural, political and terrorism related events on the stock prices. Negative abnormal returns were observed before and after major political events by Mehmood et.al (2014) while investigating impact of 50 major political events from 1998 to 2013 on KSE-100 index. This gave an indication of significant relationship between political events and stock market performance of Pakistan Stock Exchange. Similarly Nazir et.al (2014) investigated the link between uncertain political events and Karachi stock market. By using mean adjusted model and event methodology on the events data collected from May 1991 to December 2011, it was reported that these events do have effect on KSE and the inefficiency of the market last for short period. Murtaza et al., (2015) studied effect of major political events from the period 2007 to 2012 categorizing the events as those causing government policies to change and those that do not. The authors argued that KSE returns are significantly affected by the events that caused changes in government policies. Mahmood and Ahmad (2017) examined PSX and Shanghai stock market response in terms of volatility because of CPEC lead 54 billion investments and discovered decrease of volatility in the post period of CPEC in comparison to the pre period. Khan et.al (2017) analysed the effect on budget announcement and political events from 1999 to 2016 on the PSX performance by dividing events into expected and unexpected categories and proved the significant effect of both political and budget events.

## **2.2 Hypothesis**

Based on the literature the major hypothesis proposed is:

H1= Political events have significant effect on Stock Market Behaviour

The sub hypotheses proposed are:

H2: The election has negative effect during the pre period and positive effect in the post period.

H3: CPEC(MOU) signed has positive effect on Stock Market.

H4: Prime Minister Disqualification has negative effect on Stock Market Performance.

### 3. Methodology

To analyse the effect of a particular event on the stock market performance we adopted event study methodology following the procedure suggested by MacKinlay (1997) and Brown (1985).Event study methodology was pioneered by Dolley (1933)and it gotmore sophistication and modifications in 1960's through the work of Brown (1968) and Fama etal., (1969).

#### 3.1 Data Collection

Daily closing prices data was collected from the stock exchange official web site and from database [www.ksestocks.com](http://www.ksestocks.com). An event window of 41 trading days, i.e., -20 before the event day, 1 on-event day (taken as 0) and, +20 after the event days. The estimation period comprisedof 247 trading days prior to the start of event window as per benchmark set by MacKinlay (1997).Table 1 showsthe events and their respective pre and post dates.

#### 3.2 Major Political Events in Pakistan

Brief description of major event and then the detail in table

**Table1: Major Political Events from 2013-2018**

Event Name	Symbol	Event Date	Pre event Period -20	Post event Period +20
1.China Pakistan Economic Corridor(CPEC)	CP	20 <sup>th</sup> April 2015	30 <sup>TH</sup> June 2015-17 <sup>th</sup> April, 2015	21 <sup>ST</sup> April 2015-19 <sup>th</sup> May,2015

2. Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif	PD	28 <sup>th</sup> July	30 <sup>th</sup> June, 2017- 27 <sup>th</sup> July, 2017	31 <sup>st</sup> July 2017- 28 <sup>th</sup> August 2017
3. General Elections 2018	GE	25 <sup>th</sup> July 2018	27 <sup>th</sup> June, 2018-24 <sup>th</sup> July 2018	26 <sup>th</sup> July 2018-28 <sup>th</sup> August 2018



Event window

### 3.3 Instruments

Market model (Strong, 1992) was employed to measure abnormal returns as it is considered to be the most popular method for practical purposes. The following steps were carried out for estimations.

Step 1: For market returns log returns were computed based on the formula:

$$R_{mt} = \frac{\ln(R_t)}{(R_t - 1)}$$

Where,

R<sub>m</sub> = market returns on day t

Ln = natural log

R<sub>t</sub> = current return value i.e. on day t

R<sub>t-1</sub> = previous day return value i.e. on day t-1

Log is taken because it leads to more normalized data.

Step 2: Abnormal returns were computed using the formula,

$$AR_{it} = R_{it} - Ex |R_{it}|$$

Where,

AR = abnormal Returns

R<sub>it</sub> = real return on day t

Ex |R<sub>it</sub>| = expected return on day t



Expected returns are computed by taking the average mean return of the days in the estimation window as suggested by Schnusenberg and Madura (2001).

Step 3: Cumulative abnormal returns are computed using the formula,

$$CAR(t1, tk) = \sum_{t=t1}^{t=tk} AR$$

Where, t1 and tk represent the starting and ending points of the event window.

Step 4: t-statistic for the significance of abnormal returns is computed as,  
 $t\text{-stat} = CAR/SE_i$

Where,

CAR<sub>i</sub>=Cumulative Abnormal Returns

SE= standard error which is equal to  $St.Dev/Sqrt(n)$

The significance of t-stats would be proved if the value of t stat is  $\geq 1.64$ , 1.96 and 2.57 for confidence interval of 10%,5% and 1% respectively.

## 4. Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Event 1: General Elections

Pakistan general elections recently were held on 25<sup>th</sup> of July, 2018 in which Pakistan Tehreek- e-Insaf wining with majority arise as the admired party. Before the elections there was fear of postponement and because of the suicide bombing in Peshawar and South-Western District of Mastung on July 10, 2018 and July 13, 2018 respectively. Dozens of people were killed in these attacks. The results here displayed are just as expected showing negative significance and insignificance in the pre election period and positive significance on stock returns in the post election period. All four indexes are showing somewhat similar trend. The results are in concurrence with the study of Miya(2007) and Ahmed(2017). hence the hypothesis 2 is accepted.

**Table: 2 Cumulative abnormal returns and T-Stats for general elections**

	<b>KSE 100</b>	<b>KSE ALL</b>	<b>KSE 30</b>	<b>KMI 30</b>
-20	0.012 2.268**	0.007 1.615	0.014 2.382**	0.018 2.740***
-19	0.019 3.640***	0.016 3.756***	0.021 3.406***	0.028 4.256***
-18	0.018 3.340***	0.020 4.629***	0.016 2.722***	0.023 3.486***
-17	0.014 2.629***	0.015 3.493***	0.015 2.482***	0.018 2.649***
-16	0.010 1.948*	0.012 2.811***	0.010 1.653*	0.013 2.029**
-15	-0.019 -3.636***	-0.016 -3.672***	-0.021 -3.493***	-0.021 -3.141***
-14	-0.021 -4.046***	-0.015 -3.638***	-0.022 -3.717***	-0.021 -3.187***
-13	-0.020 -3.735***	-0.014 -3.373***	-0.019 -3.167***	-0.019 -2.939***
-12	-0.044 -8.416***	-0.035 -8.388***	-0.045 -7.435***	-0.046 -7.002***
-11	-0.039 -7.523***	-0.033 -7.856***	-0.036 -5.919***	-0.041 -6.140***
-10	-0.036 -6.780***	-0.032 -7.617***	-0.031 -5.150***	-0.033 -4.990***
-9	-0.028 -5.298***	-0.029 -6.857***	-0.022 -3.631***	-0.025 -3.800***
-8	-0.017 -3.316***	-0.018 -4.247***	-0.011 -1.776*	-0.028 -4.271***
-7	-0.03201 -6.111***	-0.033 -7.777***	-0.027 -4.449***	-0.045 -6.818***
-6	-0.0248 -4.733***	-0.022 -5.278***	-0.020 -3.241***	-0.038 -5.771***

-5	-0.000 -0.080	-0.005 -1.088	0.008 1.258	-0.013 -1.954**
-4	0.022 4.161***	0.014 3.241***	0.033 5.518***	0.014 2.114**
-3	0.008 1.618	0.003 0.773	0.020 3.224***	0.000 0.030
-2	-0.010 -1.827*	-0.011 -2.603***	-0.001 -0.134	0.021 -3.169***
-1	0.012 2.354**	0.006 1.491	0.024 3.981***	0.007 1.042
1	0.031 5.881***	0.018 4.368***	0.048 7.971***	0.031 4.626***
2	0.048 9.114***	0.030 7.046***	0.067 11.152***	0.049 7.379***
3	0.066 12.615***	0.049 11.627***	0.086 14.293***	0.071 10.679***
4	0.047 8.976***	0.037 8.692***	0.063 10.469***	0.052 7.896***
5	0.050 9.508***	0.042 9.881***	0.065 10.733***	0.060 9.020***
6	0.039 7.453***	0.031 7.358***	0.052 8.520***	0.052 7.910***
7	0.044 8.335***	0.037 8.662***	0.056 9.250***	0.057 8.646***
8	0.051 9.790***	0.039 9.269***	0.065 10.688***	0.069 10.416***
9	0.051 9.669***	0.040 9.351***	0.064 10.581***	0.070 10.625***
10	0.051 9.639***	0.039 9.154***	0.064 10.577***	0.069 10.374***
11	0.055 10.592***	0.040 9.489***	0.069 11.445***	0.078 11.706***
12	0.054	0.040	0.068	0.075

	10.324***	9.571***	11.160***	11.286***
13	0.050 9.506***	0.037 8.697***	0.063 10.478***	0.067 10.119***
14	0.046 8.745***	0.034 8.012***	0.057 9.494***	0.062 9.345***
15	0.035 6.645***	0.024 5.757***	0.045 7.386***	0.050 7.574***
16	0.047 8.938***	0.035 8.183***	0.059 9.701***	0.063 9.495***
17	0.047 8.938***	0.036 8.555***	0.060 9.879***	0.064 9.727
18	0.051 9.766***	0.041 9.587***	0.064 10.620***	0.069 10.345***
19	0.055 10.567***	0.044 10.318***	0.070 11.495***	0.073 11.040***
20	0.051 9.762***	0.037 8.720***	0.068 11.292***	0.067 10.154***

Note: Values are rounded off to three decimal places

❖ **Significance:**\*10%, \*\*5%, \*\*\*1%

#### 4.2 Event 2: Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif Disqualification

Table 3 represent the CAR and the significant level of the event(i.e. PM Disqualification) for PSX indexes. Prime Minister Nawaz disqualification on 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 was on one side good news as he was charged for corruption but on the other side causes jeopardy among the investors because it was alarm to destabilizing of government and because of Nawaz prominent personality in the business community. His disqualification was likely to negatively influence stock market. As this news was in air from many days so its effect was seen expected to have negative influence on stock market indexes which is evident from significance of the following results. The results are showing significant effect before the event (i.e. from -19 to -1), on the event (i.e. 0) and after

the event (i.e. 1-2) in all indexes but from day 3- 5 KMI 30 and on day 4, KMI all share and KSE30 show insignificant effect and after day 5 onwards again significance can be observed in all indexes. Thus Hypothesis 3 also came out to be true.

**Table: 3 Cumulative Abnormal Returns and T-Stats for PM Disqualification**

Event days	KSE-100	KSE-ALL	KSE-30	KMI30
-20	-0.004 -0.663	0.001 0.120	-0.009 -1.382	-0.012 -1.680
-19	-0.047 -7.645***	-0.036 -6.363***	-0.055 -8.911***	-0.058 -7.939***
-18	-0.031 -5.138***	-0.023 -4.047***	-0.037 -6.062***	-0.034 -4.742***
-17	-0.032 -5.216***	-0.024 -4.318***	-0.036 -5.885***	-0.031 -4.297***
-16	-0.046 -7.510***	-0.036 -6.361***	-0.052 -8.462***	-0.050 -6.845***
-15	-0.038 -6.204***	-0.029 -5.160***	-0.042 -6.857***	-0.036 -4.926***
-14	-0.016 -2.581***	-0.011 -1.941**	-0.018 -2.927***	-0.008 -1.165
-13	-0.064 -10.55***	-0.055 -9.875***	-0.070 -11.296***	-0.060 -8.305***
-12	-0.073 -11.92***	-0.059 -10.493***	-0.077 -12.466***	-0.077 -10.586***
-11	-0.074 -12.1***	-0.062 -11.006***	-0.077 -12.433***	-0.081 -11.120***
-10	-0.062 -10.18***	-0.054 -9.592***	-0.063 -10.134***	-0.066 -9.093***
-9	-0.059 -9.646***	-0.052 -9.291***	-0.058 -9.418***	-0.061 -8.413***

-8	-0.035 -5.743***	-0.032 -5.771***	-0.032 -5.193***	-0.031 -4.249***
-7	-0.041 -6.675***	-0.036 -6.351***	-0.040 -6.464***	-0.040 -5.493***
-6	-0.049 -8.124***	-0.042 -7.411***	-0.048 -7.781***	-0.050 -6.861***
-5	-0.045 -7.421***	-0.038 -6.678***	-0.042 -6.852***	-0.044 -6.031***
-4	-0.041 -6.72***	-0.034 -6.038***	-0.038 -6.162***	-0.039 -5.373***
-3	-0.033 -5.473***	-0.025 -4.520***	-0.030 -4.874***	-0.029 -3.924***
-2	-0.034 -5.655***	-0.027 -4.751***	-0.030 -4.882***	-0.029 -3.998***
-1	-0.035 -5.813***	-0.026 -4.563***	-0.032 -5.245***	-0.032 -4.388***
0	-0.036 -5.938***	-0.022 -3.971***	-0.036 -5.833***	-0.031 -4.309***
1	-0.035 -5.735***	-0.020 -3.528***	-0.037 -6.034	-0.022 -3.058***
2	-0.035 -4.028***	-0.011 -1.997**	-0.024 -3.950***	-0.003 -0.468
3	-0.017 -2.717***	-0.005 -2.932***	-0.014 -2.257**	0.001 0.175
4	-0.015 -2.393**	-0.004 -0.800	-0.011 -1.792	0.001 0.203
5	-0.02 -3.264***	-0.009 -1.651*	-0.017 -2.795***	-0.005 -0.657
6	-0.03 -4.859***	-0.018 -3.223***	-0.028 -4.544***	-0.019 -2.556**
7	-0.041 -6.731***	-0.030 -5.262***	-0.039 -6.352***	-0.033 -4.526***

8	-0.042 -6.811***	-0.029 -5.174***	-0.042 -6.815***	-0.035 -4.772***
9	-0.05 -8.265***	-0.037 -6.582***	-0.052 -8.450***	-0.046 -6.308***
10	-0.059 -9.66***	-0.044 -7.839***	-0.063 -10.199***	-0.057 -7.833***
11	-0.091 -14.92***	-0.076 -13.486***	-0.095 -15.414***	-0.095 -13.034***
12	-0.085 -13.99***	-0.072 -12.893***	-0.089 -14.406***	-0.092 -12.599***
13	-0.11 -18.09***	-0.095 -16.854***	-0.116 -18.722***	-0.121 -16.591***
14	-0.113 -18.46***	-0.095 -16.874***	-0.116 -18.731***	-0.121 -16.570***
15	-0.135 -22.17***	-0.116 -20.573***	-0.136 -21.960***	-0.147 -20.186***
16	-0.14 -22.98***	-0.121 -21.508***	-0.141 -22.805***	-0.155 -21.298***
17	-0.119 -19.54***	-0.104 -18.444***	-0.119 -19.273***	-0.132 -18.195***
18	-0.135 -22.16***	-0.119 -21.086***	-0.134 -21.687***	-0.151 -20.752***
19	-0.127 -20.87***	-0.113 -20.051***	-0.125 -20.231***	-0.139 -19.072***
20	-0.144 -23.61***	-0.125 -22.242***	-0.144 -23.359***	-0.012 -1.680*

Note: Values are rounded off to three decimal places

❖ **Significance:**\*10%, \*\*5%, \*\*\*1%

#### 4.3 EVENT3: CPEC (MOU):

One Belt One Road (OBOR) an economic corridor initiative by Chinese government in 2013 entered into Pakistan in the form of China- Pakistan

economic corridor CPEC on November 2014. It included different developmental projects related to energy, infrastructure and railways. The first Memorandum of understanding (MOU) was signed between former Prime Minister Nawaz and Chinese president Xi Jinping on april20th 2015<sup>3</sup>. The result of this MOU on stock market is practically investigated here. The results are insignificant in negative abnormal movements in the pre event period (i.e. -18 to -12) due to Qadri's protest against Government in 2014 end causing chaos in the investors but during (-6 to -1) and after the happening of this event the results of all indexes taken here are highly positively significant as reflected in table.2 which shows the investors attainment of confidence in CPEC and the government. Hence show the positive impact of CPEC on stock market performance. The results are in line with the results of Mahmood and Ahmed (2017) and because of this the Hypothesis 4 is accepted as well.

**Table 4: Cumulative abnormal returns and T-Stats for CPEC(MOU)**

Event Window	KSE 100	KSE ALL	KSE30	KMI30
-20	0.010 2.021**	0.009 2.165**	0.009 1.398	0.021 3.880***
-19	-0.006 -1.093	-0.004 -0.899	-0.005 -0.821	0.007 1.321
-18	-0.013 -2.595***	-0.011 -2.632***	-0.013 -1.966**	-0.003 -0.540
-17	-0.027 -5.260***	-0.023 -5.582***	-0.023 -3.515***	-0.024 -4.443***
-16	-0.052 -9.974***	-0.043 -10.498***	-0.050 -7.792***	-0.050 -9.341***
-15	-0.087 -16.860***	-0.074 -18.163***	-0.088 -13.579***	-0.095 -17.810***
-14	-0.044 -8.424***	-0.037 -8.956***	-0.042 -6.503***	-0.045 -8.426***



-13	-0.032 -6.175***	-0.030 -7.296***	-0.029 -4.433***	-0.032 -5.988***
-12	-0.015 -2.983***	-0.016 -3.965***	-0.014 -2.171**	-0.012 -2.269**
-11	-0.007 -1.352	-0.012 -2.928***	-0.009 -1.369	-0.007 -1.290
-10	0.003 0.607	-0.002 -0.524	0.001 0.126	0.007 1.318
-9	-0.002 -0.430	-0.007 -1.692	-0.004 -0.642	0.002 0.377
-8	0.006 1.205	0.000 -0.026	0.006 0.911	0.016 3.085***
-7	0.010 1.859	0.006 1.536	0.009 1.439	0.017 3.158***
-6	0.020 3.773***	0.014 3.353***	0.022 3.378***	0.028 5.304***
-5	0.016 3.047***	0.010 2.330**	0.019 2.876***	0.025 4.668***
-4	0.011 2.102**	0.006 1.380	0.012 1.886*	0.019 3.498***
-3	0.015 2.826***	0.009 2.226**	0.016 2.412**	0.018 3.308**
-2	0.029 5.617***	0.019 4.731***	0.034 5.280***	0.035 6.532***
-1	0.044 8.427***	0.031 7.543***	0.053 8.132***	0.050 9.307***
0	0.045 8.721***	0.032 7.841***	0.058 9.033***	0.046 8.617***
1	0.036 7.041***	0.025 6.101***	0.050 7.802***	0.036 6.816***
2	0.049 9.575***	0.035 8.507***	0.066 10.229***	0.050 9.312***

3	0.048 9.267***	0.033 8.115***	0.064 9.910***	0.050 9.435***
4	0.057 10.987***	0.040 9.901***	0.077 11.970***	0.056 10.470***
5	0.058 11.271***	0.040 9.827***	0.080 12.398***	0.055 10.390***
6	0.050 9.619***	0.035 8.475***	0.070 10.885***	0.047 8.897***
7	0.046 8.837***	0.033 8.083***	0.066 10.150***	0.045 8.357***
8	0.053 10.280***	0.038 9.346***	0.075 11.680***	0.056 10.598***
9	0.052 10.131***	0.036 8.750***	0.077 11.935***	0.058 10.865***
10	0.046 8.926***	0.029 7.149***	0.070 10.788***	0.054 10.175***
11	0.055 10.570***	0.042 10.205***	0.080 12.442***	0.063 11.903***
12	0.051 9.906***	0.042 10.170***	0.078 12.068***	0.059 11.151***
13	0.044 8.571***	0.034 8.377***	0.071 10.936***	0.052 9.752***
14	0.013 2.459**	0.008 2.003**	0.039 5.964***	0.012 2.203**
15	0.028 5.402***	0.022 5.344***	0.054 8.409***	0.029 5.471***
16	0.024 4.654***	0.020 5.018***	0.050 7.743***	0.027 5.150***
17	0.029 5.654***	0.024 5.845***	0.051 7.893***	0.036 6.734***
18	0.027 5.156***	0.020 4.981***	0.045 6.982***	0.031 5.827***

19	0.021 4.145***	0.015 3.715***	0.040 6.178***	0.024 4.432***
20	0.044 3.110***	0.011 2.729***	0.034 5.267***	0.017 3.168***

Note: Values are rounded off to three decimal places

❖ **Significance:**\*10%, \*\*5%, \*\*\*1%

## 5. Conclusion

This study investigated the effect of three major political events on stock market performance. Here event study methodology in which market model is taken for analysis of 41 days event window. The study is aligned with the previous studies showing significance effects of these political events on stock market. Thus the political events proved to be playing a major role in effecting the returns of stock and the hypothesis proposed are proved.

### 5.1 Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study is only limited to Pakistan stock market response to political events. Future work can be done using not only political but different events and also by using different methodologies other than the event study. Comparison of different stock markets can also be done to check the effect of similar nature events.

## References

- Ahmed, W. M. (2017). The impact of political regime changes on stock prices: the case of Egypt. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 12(3), 508-531.
- Aktas, H., & Oncu, S. (2006). The stock market reaction to extreme events: the evidence from Turkey. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. 6(6), 78-85.
- Ball, R., & Brown, P. (1968). An empirical evaluation of accounting income numbers. *Journal of accounting research*, 159-178.

Beaulieu, M. C., Cosset, J. C., & Essaddam, N. (2005). The impact of political risk on the volatility of stock returns: The case of Canada. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 36(6), 701-718.

Beaulieu, M. C., Cosset, J. C., & Essaddam, N. (2006). Political uncertainty and stock market returns: evidence from the 1995 Quebec referendum. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique*, 39(2), 621-642.

Białkowski, J., Gottschalk, K., & Wisniewski, T. P. (2008). Stock market volatility around national elections. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(9), 1941-1953.

Bin, L., (2015). Political Leadership Events and Stock Market Reactions: Evidence from the Greater China Region. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 15(8), 81.

Brown, S. J., & Warner, J. B. (1985). Using daily stock returns: The case of event studies. *Journal of financial economics*, 14(1), 3-31.

Brunner, M. (2009). Does politics matter? The influence of elections and government formation in the Netherlands on the Amsterdam Exchange Index. *Acta Politica*, 44( 2), 150-170.

Chau, F., Deesomsak, R., & Wang, J. (2014). Political uncertainty and stock market volatility in the Middle East and North African (MENA) countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 28, 1-19.

Dolley, J. C. (1933). Characteristics and Procedure of Common Stock Split-ups. *Harvard Business Review*, 11, 316-326.

Ewing, B. T. (1998). The impact of federal budget deficits on movements in the stock market: Evidence from Australia and France. *Applied Economics Letters*, 5(10), 649-651.

Fama, E., Fisher, L., Jensen, M., & Roll, R. (1969). The Adjustment of Stock Prices to New Information. *International Economic Review*, 10, 1-21.

Gemmill, G., (1992). Political risk and market efficiency: tests based in British stock and options markets in the 1987 election. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 16(1), 211-231.

- Gersdorff, N., Von and Bacon, F. (2009). U.S. Mergers and Acquisitions: A Test of Market Efficiency. *Journal of Finance and Accountancy*, 1, 1-8.
- Goonatilake, R., &Herath, S. (2007). The volatility of the stock market and news. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 3(11), 53-65.
- Gopaldaswamy, A.K., Acharya, D. & Malik, J. (2008). Stock price reaction to merger announcements: an empirical note on Indian markets.*Investment Management and Financial Innovations*,5 (1), 95-103
- Gul, S., Khan, M.T., Saif, N. & Rehman, S. (2013). Stock market reaction to political events: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(1), 222 – 285
- Khan, N., Burton, B. & Power, D. (2016). Share price behaviour around dividend announcements in Pakistan. *Afro-Asian J. of Finance and Accounting*, 6(4), 351-373.
- Khan, S., Baig, N., Usman, M., Shaique, M., & Shaikh, R. (2017). Stock Market Dynamics in Pakistan: What Do Political Events and Budget Announcements Disclose?*Research journal of Finance and Accounting*,8(10),113-123.
- Kim, H. Y., & Mei, J. P. (2001). What makes the stock market jump? An analysis of political risk on Hong Kong stock returns. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 20(7), 1003-1016.
- Laverde, J. C. F., Varua, M. E., &Garces-Ozanne, A. (2009). Understanding crime, political uncertainty and stock market returns. *World Economics*, 10(2), 109-116.
- Lehkonen, H., &Heimonen, K., (2015). Democracy, political risks and stock market performance. *Journal of International Money and Finance*,59, 77-99.
- Liang, C. (2013). The impact of merger and acquisition announcements on firms' stock performance: evidence from Hong Kong stock market.
- MacKinlay, A. C. (1997). Event studies in economics and finance. *Journal of economic literature*, 35(1), 13-39.

- Mahmood, S., Irfan, M., Iqbal, S., Kamran, M., & Ijaz, A. (2014). Impact of political events on stock market: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Asian Business Strategy*, 4(12), 163-174.
- Mahmood, W. & Ahmad, N.(2017). Impact of CPEC on PSX and Shanghai Stock Exchange market volatility Using GARCH Method. *29th International Business Information Management Association Conference, Vienna, Austria*.
- Malik, S., Hussain, S. & Shakil, A.(2009). Impact of political events on trading volume and stock returns: The case of KSE. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5, 354 -364.
- Mehdian, S., Nas, T., & Perry, M. J.(2008). An examination of investor reaction to unexpected political and economic events in Turkey. *Global Finance Journal*,18(3), 337-350.
- Miya G.H. (2007). Stock market behaviour around national elections in Kenya. *Unpublished MBA project*, University of Nairobi.
- Moser, C., & Rose, A. K. (2014). Who benefits from regional trade agreements? The view from the stock market. *European Economic Review*, 68, 31-47.
- Murtaza, H.,Abrarulhaq,M. & Ali, R.(2015). Impact of Major Political Events on Stock Market Returns of Pakistan. *Public Policy and Administration Research*, 5(4), 69-83.
- Sajid Nazir, M., Younus, H., Kaleem, A., & Anwar, Z.(2014). Impact of political events on stock market returns: empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 30(1), 60-78.
- Sooft, A. A., &Jefri, Y. A. (1993). The Impact of the Saudi Tax System on the Financing and Investment Decisions by Joint Companies. *Journal of king Saud University*.
- Strong, N. (1992). Modelling abnormal returns: a review article. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 19, 533–553
- Suleman, M.T. (2012). Stock market reaction to good and bad political news. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting* 4, 30 – 37.
- Thorbecke, W. (1997). On stock market returns and monetary policy. *The Journal of Finance*, 52(2), 635-654.

Trinugroho, I., Fajrin, A., & Sutaryo, S. (2016). Is the effect of a political event more pronounced for government controlled firms? *Journal of Economics, Business & Accountancy*, 192, 173-180.

Von Gersdorff, N. and Bacon, F. (2009) U.S. Mergers and Acquisitions: A Test of Market Efficiency. *Journal of Finance and Accountancy*, 1, 1-8.

Zach, T. (2003). Political events and the stock market: Evidence from Israel. *International Journal of Business*, 8(3).







